S. No	Name of State/Town	Amount Released (Rs. in Lakhs)
	UTTAR PRADESH (*Contd.*)	
23.	Padrauna	39.000
24.	Sultanpur	53.000
25.	Biswan	33.250
26.	Shamli	30.000
27.	Badohi	25.500
28.	Mounath Bhanjan	25.900
29.	Shandila	27.000
30.	Pilibhit	15.000
31.	Baharaich	25.550
32.	Lalitpur	29.300
33.	Mirzapur	28.800
34.	Etawah	44.000
35.	Sambhal	20.000
36.	Haldwani	17.000
37.	Lakhimpur	27.500
38.	Sikandra Rao	10.000
39.	Konch	27.500
40.	Kairana	27.500
41.	Modi Nagar	25.000
42.	Jalesar	15.000
43.	Auraiya	4.000
44.	Roorkee	18.000
45.	Gonda	19.000
46.	Mawana	20.000
47.	Koshikalan	20·000
48.	Sikandarabad	15·000
49.	Bilaspur	20·000
50.	Mauranipur	20.000
51.	Chunar	20.000
52.	Muzzaffarnagar	20.000
	Total :	1542.610

Land Conversion Rates Fixed by D.D.A.

1952. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the reply to Unstarred Question 347 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 10th July, 1992 and state:

(a) whether Government have since taken any decMon regarding coriversidtt of lease-hold properties into free-hold unit's;

(b) if so, what are the details in thi9 regard; and

(c) if not, by when a decision is likely to be taken?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN f HE MINSTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM

(c) The matter is the consideration of the Government.

Construction of Houses under Indira Vikas Yojna

1953. SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA : Will the Ministtr of URBAN DEVELOPMENT M pleased State :

(a) whether under the Indira Vikas Yojna, villagers of Asoh near Mehrauli is Delhi bad been ~~promised~~ plots of land on which houses would be constructed for them;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) what steps are being taken to fulfil the promise regarding construction of houses 7

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN TUB MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT" (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM) : (a) to (c) fnformafum tf being collected and will Be Will on the Table of fne Sabha.

Allotment of Land to Group Housing Societies

1954. SHRI N. E. BALARAM :

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA :

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOP-MENT be pleased to state:

(a) wnether it is a fact that the Delhi Devlopment *Authority has decided* to *Mot* land to some of the GroupHrsing *Sock-*ties who have not- been allotted laud so far;

(b) if so, the criteria being adopted in the selection of these societies and what is the decision regarding fee fematttag societies;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Delhi development Authority has increased the land price oxhort>it«Htly that it was revised in 1989;

(d) if so, the details thereof and the reasons fSSrefof,

(e) whether the Delhi Oo-operathr* Group Housing Federation has protested [against the steep rise in the cost of laotf